

Exercise Sheet 2:

1. F&R Ch.4 Section 4.2.
 - (a) Prove Result 4.2a
 - (b) Prove Result 4.2b.
 - (c) How robust are these results to more realistic assumptions? See F&R pages 132 -134.

2. The theoretical literature on optimal contracting for borrower/lender relations (F&R Ch.4) pays little attention to the empirical literature on bankruptcy , workouts etc. (See Hotchkiss et al (2008) for a survey). Roberts and Sufi (2009) makes an attempt to summarize the empirical research relevant for optimal contracting. What is missing from their survey? Note: This is an open-ended question about the state of research in the area.

3. Recall the Diamond-Dybvig discussion in F&R Ch. 7.
 - (a) One solution is to have deposit insurance. Show how this works to eliminate the bank run equilibrium.
 - (b) In the UK in 2007, Northern Rock faced a bank-run. Was this a conventional bank-run by depositors? What was the driver of this run? (See Shin (2009) or Shin (2010) Chapter 8). What can you learn from this episode?
 - (c) Lender of Last Resort (LOLR) facilities are often justified as a means to remove destructive bank runs. How successful is this mechanism empirically? What are the hidden costs? Hint: See F&R Section 7.7 and Caprio and Honohan (2015).

4. The DSGE models, used in Central Banks as policy models, failed during the Crisis.
 - (a) They did not have financial sectors or banking. Why?
 - (b) Subsequently, some attempts have been made to introduce a financial sector, but what are the limitations? (See Stephen Snudden's overheads for a discussion.)